UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

| BOBBY GORDON, | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Plaintiff, | Case No. 1:07-CV-221 |
| v. | Hon. Robert J. Jonker |
| ICG CASTINGS, INC., | |
| Defendant. | |
| | |

ORDER

On June 20, 2008, the Court granted Defendant's motion for summary judgment, thereby closing this case. (docket # 34.) Plaintiff appealed. (docket # 36.) Plaintiff, who is now proceeding in pro per, moves this Court for leave to file additional exhibits. (docket # 39.) Under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff had the burden of responding to Defendant's motion for summary judgment by presenting evidence sufficient to show a genuine issue for trial. Plaintiff failed to carry that burden and cannot now ask the Court to reopen the record and effectively give him a second bite at the apple.

Plaintiff's motion does not indicate that the parties stipulate to expanding the record for purposes of appeal, nor does it indicate that something material was omitted from or misstated in the record by error or accident. Accordingly, this Court does not have the authority to grant Plaintiff's motion. See FED. R. APP. P. 10(e)(2) (providing that if something material was "omitted from or misstated in the record by error or accident," then

Case 1:07-cv-00221-RJJ ECF No. 40 filed 07/31/08 PageID.675 Page 2 of 2

the district court may correct the omission or misstatement). Plaintiff's motion, which raises

"questions as to the form and content of the record[,] must be presented to the court of

appeals." Id. R. 10(e)(3).

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for leave to supplement

the record (docket # 39) is DENIED without prejudice to his ability to bring a proper motion

before the Sixth Circuit.

Dated: July 31, 2008 /s/ Robert J. Jonker

ROBERT J. JONKER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2